## Quantifying Uncertainty in Projecting Atmospheric Chemistry and Greenhouse Gases: Using the CMIP5/ACCMIP Ensembles

Michael Prather (UCIrvine), including the work of Chris Holmes (UCI), Vaishali Naik (GFSL), Arlene Fiore (Columbia), Apostolos Voulgarakis (GISS), Bill Collins (UKMO), Paul Young (NOAA), Frank Dentener (JRC), Ruth Doherty (Edinburgh), Oliver Wild (Lancaster), Guang Zeng (NIWA), David Stevenson (Edinburgh), Jean-Francois Lamarque (NCAR), Drew Shindell (GISS) and others contributing to and analyzing the ACCMIP/CMIP5 atmospheric chemistry.

Scenarios for future climate change assume a causal chain from human activities through to climate change. It is important to assess this chain with appropriate uncertainties [e.g., Prather et al., 2009]. The RCP scenarios present a complete projection of future climate forcing, but do not adequately address the uncertainties in assessing anthropogenic emissions from the AFLOU sector or in atmospheric chemistry that links emissions to radiative forcing [e.g., Meinshausen et al., 2011]. For example, current anthropogenic emissions of CH4 & N2O have uncertainties of order ±25% and ±50%, respectively. We look at how the Atmospheric Chemistry & Climate MIP (ACCMIP, a subset of CMIP5 models) can be used to improve the RCP projections of CH4 from emissions and include uncertainty. Fig. 1. ACCMIP projected atmospheric chemistry

Atmospheric CH4 loss is a combination of inverse lifetimes with respect to losses by tropospheric OH (1/11yr), stratospheric OH (1/120yr), tropospheric Cl (1/200yr), and soil uptake (1/150yr). Primary loss by tropospheric OH depends on projected pollutant emissions (anthro+natural) and climate.

Changes in the OH-lifetime of CH4 have been calculated under ACCMIP (Fig.1 from A. Voulgarakis). 16 Models have a wide range in current lifetime (), well outside current best estimates. The critical piece of information here is change in OH-lifetime: this change and its uncertainty are key pieces needed to project future CH4.

Prather, M. J., J. E. Penner, J. S. Fuglestvedt, A. Kurosawa, J. A. Lowe, N. Hohne, A. K. Jain, N. Andronova, L. Pinguelli, C. P. de Campos, S. C. B. Raper, R. B. Skeie, P. A. Stott, J. van Aardenne, and F. Wagner (2009), Tracking uncertainties in the causal chain from human activities to climate, Geophys Res Lett, 36: L05707.

Meinshausen, M., S. J. Smith, K. Calvin, J. S. Daniel, M. L. T. Kainuma, J. F. Lamarque, K. Matsumoto, S. A. Montzka, S. C. B. Rayer, K. Riahi, A. Thomson, G. J. M. Velders, and D. P. P. van Vuuren (2011), The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109: 213-241

OH lifetime (yr) of CH4 2060 2040 2080 2100 2000 2020 2060 CH4\_chemlife\_GLO\_TRO\_accrcp45 CH4\_chemlife\_GLO\_TRO\_accrcp85 RCP4.5 RCP8.5 12 6 NCAR-CAM3.5 MAGICC UM-CAM 2020 2040 2060 2080 2100 2000 2000 2020 2040 2060 2080 2100 year

SciDAC

in greenhouse gas scenarios, Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L09803.

supported by the SciDAC program of the Office of Science, DOE

RCP2.6

Table 1. Primary Quantities ( $P \pm 1\sigma$ )

CH4

emissions, PI:PD

٧2

10%

±

1.00

0.10

Table 2. Derived Quantities ( $Q \pm 1\sigma$ ) Table A2. Derived Quantities code derived quantity value % derivation and notes **Table A1. Primary Quantities** Teramoles per ppb of dry air dry a 0.1765 ± (a1) / (b1) (\*10<sup>-9</sup>). <0.5% uncertainty

code	primary quantity	ase	value		%	abs	A1	Teramoles per ppb of dry air	ary ar	0.1765	±		U	(a1) / (b1) (*10 <sup>-9</sup> ), <0.5% uncertainty		
a1	atmospheric mass (Tg)	gas dry air	5.113E+09		70	aps	B1	Burden : trop-mean (Tg/ppb)	N2.0	4.79	±	1.0%		(A1) * (b2) * (c1)		
	molecular weight (Daltons)	<u> </u>	28.97	±			B2		9H4	2.75	±	1.0%		(A1) * (b3) * (c2)		
b1	molecular weight (Daltons)	dry air		±			B3		HFC-134a	17.5	±	2.1%		(A1) * (b4) * (c3)		
b2		N2O	28.0	±			C1	PD burden (Tg)	N2O	1548	±	1.4%	22	(B1) * (e1)		
b3		CH4	16.0	±			C2		CH4	4932	±	1.4%	71	(B2) * (e2)		
b4		HFC-134a	102.0	±			C3		HFC-134a	1.01	±	5.4%	0.05	(B3) * (e3)		
b5		MCF	133.4	±			D1	PI burden (Tg)	N2O	1294	±	2.8%	36	(B1) * (d1)		
c1*	fill factor (atmospheric to	N2O	0.970	±	1.0%	0.01	D2		CH4	1923	±	3.7%	71	(B2) * (d2)		
c2*	tropospheric abundance)	CH4	0.973	$\perp$	1.0%	0.01	D3		HFC-134a	0	±	2.1%	0	(B3) * (d3)		
c3*		HFC-134a		±	2.1%	0.01	E1	ratio of trop-QA loss to MCF	CH4/MCF	0.601	±	10.1%	0.061	(i1) + 1.7% uncertainty from (h1) propagating throug		
		MCF		±			E2		HFC-134a	0.427	±	10.0%	0.043	(i2) + 0.7% uncertainty from (h1) propagating throug	Jh exp(-1630/T) /	
c4*	Preindustrial (PI) abundance		0.92	±	1.1%	0.01	F1	trop-OH inverse lifetime (/y)	MCF	0.1575	±	5.9%	0.0093	(k1) - 1/(l3) - (m1)		
d1*	(ppb)	N20	270	±	2.6%	7	F2		MCF**	0.1449	±	6.0%	0.0087	(F1) * (c4), normalized to uniform MCF abundance.		
d2*	(PPS)	CH4	700	±	3.6%	25	F3		CH4**	0.0871	±	11.8%	0.0103	(F2) $^*$ (E1), inverse OH-lifetime for uniform CH $_4$ abu	ındance.	
d3*		HFCs	0	±	0	0	F4		CH4	0.0895	±	11.8%	0.0106	(F3) / (c2); OH-lifetime = 11.2 ± 1.3 y		
40	Present day (PD = year	111 03			-		F5		HFC-134a	0.0638	±	11.9%	0.0076	(F2) * (E2) / (c3).		
e1*	2010) abundance (ppb,	N2O	323	±	1.0%	3.2	G1	strat inverse lifetime (/y)	HFC-134a	0.0068	±	22.4%	0.0015	(j1) / (l2)		
	HFCs in ppt)						H1	total inverse lifetime (/y)	CH4	0.1095	±	10.3%	0.0112	(F4) + 1/(I2) + 1/(n1) + 1/(o1), lifetime = 9.14 y ± 109	%	
e2*		CH4	1795	±	1.0%	18.0	12		HFC-134a	0.0706	±	10.9%	0.0077	(F5) + (G), lifetime = $14.2 \text{ y} \pm 11\%$		
e3*		HFC-134a		±	5.0%	2.9	l1	PD loss rate (Tg/y)	N2O	11.8	±	7.8%	0.9	(C1) / (I1)		
f1*	PD growth rate (ppb/yr)	N2O	0.8	±	12.5%	0.1	12		CH4	540	±	10.4%	56	(C2) * (H1)		
f2*	DE ( 1 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	CH4	5	±	20.0%	1	J1	PD growth rate (Tg/y)	N2O	3.8	±	12.5%	0.5	(B1) * (f1)		
g1	RF factor (W /m2 /ppb)	N2O CH4	3.03E-03	±	6.0%	1.82E-64	J2		CH4	14	±	20.0%	3	(B2) * (f2)		
g2		HFC-134a	3.70E-04	±	6.0%	2.22F-05	K1	PD emissions (Tg/y)	N2O	15.7	±	6.6%	1.0	(11) + (J1)		
g3	temperature (K) for scaling	ПГС-134а	0.16	±	6.0%	9.6 E-03	K2		CH4	554	±	10.1%	56	(I2) + (J2)		
h1	trop-OH reactions		272	±	1.8%	5	L1	PD-PI abundance (ppb, ppt)	N2O	53	±	14.5%	8	(e1) - (d1)		
.,	ratio of OH reaction rates at	0114			42.224	2.222	L2		CH4	1095	±	2.8%	31	(e2) - (d2)		
11	272K: [OH+gas]/[OH+MCF]	CH4	0.601	±	10.0%	0.060	L3		HFC-134a	58	±	5.0%	3	(e3) - (d3)		
i2		HFC-134a	0.427	±	10 /%	0.043	M1	RF: PD-PI (W/m2)	N2O	0.161	±	15.7%	0.025	coupled [Forster et al., 2007]; the key numbers here	e are the uncerta	
i1	ratio of OH reaction rates at	HFC-134a	0.816	+	10.0%	0.082	M2		CH4	0.405	±	6.6%	0.027	Approximated as (L2) * (g2), see above		
ļ.,.	225K: [OH+gas]/[OH+CH4]			$\perp$	0.00		M3		HFC-134a	0.009	±	7.8%	0.001	(L3) * (g3) / 1000		
k1*	global decay rate (/y)	MCF	0.181	±	2.8%	0.005	N1	perturbation lifetime (y)	N2O	121	±	7.9%	9.5	(l1) / [1 - (s1)]		
I1	stratospheric lifetime (y)	N2O	131	±	7.6%	10	N2		CH4	12.4	±	11.5%	1.4	1 / [(F4) * [1-(q1)] + 1/(l2) + 1/(n1) + 1/(o1)]		
12		CH4	120	±	20.0%	24	N3		HFC-134a	14.2	±	10.9%	1.5	1 / (H2)		
13		MCF	42.6	±	14.1%	6	01	GWP (100 year)	N2O	317	±	9.9%	31	298 * (N1) / 114, scaled from AR4, uncertainty in (N	1) and (g1)	
m1	oceanic loss frequency (/y)	MCF	0.000	±	n/a	0.0071	02	, , ,	CH4	26	±	12.9%		25 * (N2) / 12, scaled from AR4, uncertainty in (N2)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
n1	lifetime vs. soil uptake (y)	CH4	150	±	33.3%	50	03		HFC-134a	1447	±	12.5%	180	1430 * (N3) / 14, scaled from AR4, uncertainty in (N	(0)	
01	lifetime vs. trop-Cl (y)	CH4	200	±	50.0%	100	P1	PI lifetime (y)	N2O	142	±	10.0%	14	(I1) + (t1)	, (3-)	
p1	Change in trop-OH, PI:PD	ОН	0.95	±	10.5%	0.10	P2		CH4	9.5	±	13.3%	1.3	1 / [(F4) * (p1) + 1/(l2) + 1/(n1) + 1/(o1)]		
q1	OH lifetime feedback, S = -	CH4	0.32	±	15.6%	0.05	Q1	PI (natural) emissions (Tg/y)		9.1	±	11%	1.0	(D1) / (P1), uncertainty from MC calculation.		
91	dln(OH)/dln(CH4)	OTT	0.02		10.070	0.00	Q2	Tr (nataral) emissions (1975)	CH4	202	±	14%	28	(D2) / (P2), as above.		
r1	Change in trop-OH, Y2100 :	ОН	1.00	±	15.0%	0.15	R1	PD (natural) emissions (Tg/y)	-	9.1		14%	1.3	(Q1) / (v1), uncertainty from MC calculation.		
<b> </b>	PD, due to NOx,VOC,temp.						R2	(19/y)	CH4	202	±	17%	35			
s1	dlnL/dlnN2O	N2O	-0.08	±	25%	0.02	+	DD anthron amissions (Ts/s)			±			(Q2) / (v2), as above.		
t1	PI – PD lifetime (y)	N2O	11	±	n/a	10	S1	PD anthrop.emissions (Tg/y)		6.5	±	20%	1.3	(K1) - (R1), uncertainty from MC calculation.		
u1	to other than N2O change	N2O	-2	±	n/a	6	S2	   S A   D [ <i>Flethling et al.</i> , 20   1],	CH4	352	±	13%	45	(K2) - (R2), as above.		
- "	Change in natural	+							ango anoontainty i		hor	MIC	D Holmon	L Hou (2012) Hoing of manharia shamistr	24	
v1	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	N2O	1.00	±	10%	0.10	See v2	ee v2 Prather, M. J., C. D. Holmes, J. Hsu (2012), Using atmospheric chemistry								

Figs. 2-5. Generate anthropogenic emission uncertainties and then GHG abundances with uncertainties

Uncertainty based on estimated 10% decline in pateral wetland s

