



Earth & Environmental Systems Modeling

5. Model Bias, Model Uncertainties, and Fitness-for-Purpose

Co-chairs: Luke Van Roekel, Forrest Hoffman

Topic Leads: Daniel Ricciuto, Dan Lu, Ian Sue Wing, Erwan Mornier



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of
Science

2024 EESM PI Meeting
August 6–9, 2024



Challenge 1: Integrating Top-Down and Process-Oriented Constraints

- Current capabilities in EESM

- **Base capability in diagnostics for ESMs:** Many packages exist that look at large (Top-Down) constraints and some that are process-oriented (e.g. E3SM-diags, MPAS-Analysis, ILAMB, etc...)
- **Models and observations at various scales and complexities:** Satellite and observation networks to benchmark and constrain model states across different scales.
- **Ultra-high-Resolution Modeling:** Implementation of LES models and cloud chambers to capture microscale processes and their

- Gaps

- **Data Integration:** Limited ability to seamlessly integrate high-resolution observational data into model grids, leading to inconsistencies and gaps in model accuracy. (integration often requires higher resolution simulations)
- **Diagnostics and observations:** Interagency and inter modeling center discussions are needed to understand what diagnostics are needed
- **Joint Constraints Application:** Challenges in effectively combining top-down constraints with process-level diagnostics to create a comprehensive understanding of model biases and uncertainties.

- Goals

- **Meeting key top down constraints (ECS, TCS, RESTOM, Aerosol sensitivity, OHC drift) while respecting bottom-up (process oriented metrics)**



Challenge 1: Comprehensive Uncertainty Quantification

- **Current capabilities in EESM**
 - Existing frameworks provide methods to quantify individual types of uncertainties (e.g., parametric or scenario) in Earth system models.
 - Application of joint sampling techniques to some key uncertainty sources, though not yet comprehensive or fully integrated.
- **Gaps**
 - Limited understanding of how different forcing, scenario, parameter and structural uncertainties interact and combine, affecting overall model outputs.
 - Lack of comprehensive, standardized frameworks that can simultaneously quantify and propagate multiple types of uncertainties (parametric, structural, scenario, forcing) in a unified manner.
 - Sampling of complex models is computationally expensive, limiting uncertainty quantification
- **Goals**
 - Expand existing model sampling and diagnostics tools to quantify and propagate various types of uncertainties in Earth system models, considering their interactions and combined effects.
 - Synthesize historical data and expert knowledge to evaluate different types of uncertainties, how they interact, and how to reduce them.
 - Integrate machine learning and AI methods to accelerate uncertainty quantification.



Challenge 3: Effective utilization of high resolution and ensembles for bias reduction

Current capabilities in EESM

- Increasing volumes of model output across configuration complexity, scenarios and resolutions
- Observations across spatial and temporal scales
- Process based models (e.g. LES/CRM) to examine processes in detail

Gaps

- Efficient and effective ways to analyze very large volumes of data
- Methods to distill the vast amount of climate data for use in impacts and assessment related models
- An understanding in the ESM community of most critical biases for stakeholders to help dedicate resources

Goals

- Efficient and equitable analysis capabilities of large volumes of data and frameworks to couple process understanding to ESM simulation biases